

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/13/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/743,560	12/23/2003	Akihiro Ozeki	008312-0307351	5051	
909 7	07/13/2006		EXAM	INER	
PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN, LLP P.O. BOX 10500			BERHANU	BERHANU, SAMUEL	
MCLEAN, VA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2838		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			——I.A.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	wo
	10/743,560	OZEKI, AKIHIRO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Samuel Berhanu	2838	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence addres	SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS free, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this commu NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 M This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters,		erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,6-9 and 11 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,6-9 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 23 December 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2005.	are: a) \square accepted or b) \square objection of a displayments are displayed on the drawing (s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1	.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applic ority documents have been rece ou (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No vived in this National Sta	ge
Attachment(s)	A) [] last:	on/ (PTO 412)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/20/2006</u>. 	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:		2)

Application/Control Number: 10/743,560 Page 2

Art Unit: 2838

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 4 contains in the last three lines "when the power consumption amount exceeds an electric power that is supplied from the fuel cell, but the power consumption amount is lower than an electric power that is supplied from both the fuel cell and the secondary battery" which is a duplicate sentence in the claim and appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims1-4 and 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamamoto et. al. (US 2001/0034569).

Regarding Claim 1, Yamamoto et al. disclose in Figure 1,an electronic apparatus which can operate by electric power supplied from a cell unit (20) that can produce electricity by chemical reaction (Fuel cells produce energy based on their internal chemical energy), comprising: a switching unit (Each electronic devices associated with switch) which can switch an operation mode between a first operation mode that makes an operation with a first power consumption

Art Unit: 2838

amount, and a second operation mode that makes an operation with a second power consumption amount lower than the first power consumption amount supply apparatus (as shown in Figure 12, each device has different power consumption rate for different state of operations, so the power supply apparatus provides power according to their state signals or "switch position", page 10, paragraphs 0145); a notification unit to send a signal indicating that the operation mode is switched to the cell unit; and a control unit configured to switch the operation mode on the basis of a signal sent back from the cell unit in response to the signal of the notification unit ("R" and "C" are " a notification unit " signals, when a matching signal outputted by the fuel cell unit to the controller, the controller switched to the cell unit only, please see Example 2, on Page 5)

Regarding Claim 2, Yamamoto et. al. disclose in Figure 3, wherein the notification unit notifies of switching of the operation mode to the cell unit, upon switching from the second operation mode to the first operation mode (noted that when the fuel cell power is insufficient to provide power for the electric product the commercial power supply compensate for the insufficiency which is a "second operation mode", when the notification signal, "the request signal "R", matched with the fuel cell "notification Signal "C" ", the operation switched to the cell unit which is a "first operation mode", see example 2, page 5-6 of prior art).

Regarding Claim 3, Yamamoto et. al. disclose in Figure 3, wherein the control unit aborts switching to the first operation mode (Cell unit), when a received signal indicates that a power supply amount from the cell unit is short upon switching to the first operation mode. (Noted that when the fuel cell power

Art Unit: 2838

is not sufficient to operate the electric product the control stop "abort" switching to the fuel cell power supply only, instead send a signal to the commercial power supply to compensate for the insufficiency, see example 2 on Page 5).

Regarding Claim 4, Yamamoto et. al. disclose in Figure 3, a fuel cell (20) which produces electricity by chemical reaction; a rechargeable secondary battery (80, paragraph 0078); a reception unit ((power control apparatus, 300, which receives signals Ri-RN) configured to receive a message which indicates switching of the operation modes from the electronic apparatus (the electronic apparatus inform the control to switch in to appropriate power mode switch); and a response unit (a control part that sends signals T upon receiving signal R) configured to send a message indicating that a power consumption amount upon operating the electronic apparatus in the operation mode after switching exceeds an electric power that is supplied from the fuel cell, but the power consumption amount is lower than an electric power that is supplied from both the fuel cell and the secondary battery (Noted that when the electric products operating or consuming less power than provided by the cell unit then purchasing power from external power supply is not taking place, however when the power consumption of the electronic products are higher than the power supplied by the cell then the external power supply, 80, such as storage cell compensate the deficiency of the fuel cell and provides sufficient power that power the electronic products, when the system resumes its function due to the additional power supplied by the external power supply (storage cell), the power consumed by the electronic

Art Unit: 2838

products are not greater than the total power supplied by the storage cell and the cell unit) (Paragraphs 0075-0078).

Regarding Claim 6, Yamamoto et. al. disclose in Figure 3, wherein the response unit sends a signal that permits switching of the operation mode to the electronic apparatus (noted that when signal "R" sends to the fuel cell unit, a fuel cell provides power to the electronic product accordingly), when the output electric power of the fuel cell is larger than the power consumption amount (Page 5, Paragraph 0075).

Regarding Claim 7, Yamamoto et. al. disclose in Figure 3, a power control unit (30) configured to control the fuel cell to lower the output electric power, when the output electric power of the fuel cell is larger than the power consumption amount by a value beyond a predetermined value (when the Paragraph 0021).

Regarding Claim 8, Yamamoto et. al. disclose in Figure 3, a power control unit (300) configured to control the fuel cell to raise the output electric power (when the power control apparatus send the second signal to the cell unit, the cell unit increase or decrease the power generation based on the input signal), when the power consumption amount is larger than the output electric power of the fuel cell, wherein the response unit sends a signal indicating that the output electric power of the fuel cell has been changed to the electronic apparatus, when the output electric power of the fuel cell has reached the power consumption amount under the control of the power control unit (see example 2, on Page 5).

Art Unit: 2838

Regarding Claim 9, Yamamoto et. al. disclose in Figure 3, wherein the response unit sends a signal that inhibits switching of the operation mode, when the power consumption amount is larger than rated electric power guaranteed by the fuel cell (noted that when the electronic products send signal "R", and the fuel cell signal indicates that there is insufficient power to provide, the controller control the power supply apparatus to compensate for the insufficiency).(noted that the system operates according to the control signal, it goes from high power consumption amount to lower consumption amount or vise versa, Page 9, Paragraph 0134), (noted that when the fuel cell power is insufficient to provide power for the electric product the commercial power supply compensate for the insufficiency which is a "second operation mode", when the notification signal, "the request signal "R", matched with the fuel cell "notification Signal "C" ", the operation switched to the cell unit which is a "first operation mode").

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamoto et. al. (US 2001/0034569) in view of Bonnefoy (US 5,714,874).

Regarding Claim 11, Yamamoto et. al. do not disclose explicitly, a power control unit configured to charge the secondary battery by electric power as a

Page 7

Art Unit: 2838

consumption amount, when the output electric power of the fuel cell and the power consumption amount, when the output electric power of the fuel cell is larger than the power consumption amount by a value beyond a predetermined value.

However, Bonnefy discloses in Figure 1,a power control unit (5a) configured to charge the secondary battery by electric power as a difference between the output electric power of the fuel cell and the power consumption amount, when the output electric power of the fuel cell is larger than the power consumption amount by a value beyond a predetermined value (Column 2, lines 20-25 and line 62, Column 3, line 51, Column 4, lines 24-34). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add a fuel cell charging means as taught by Yamamoto in order to maintain the secondary battery voltage as a desire voltage so that the battery can provide sufficient power for the device for a long period of time.

Response to Arguments

6. In response to applicant's arguments, the recitation "the cell unit is detachably connected "in claim 1 has not been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

Art Unit: 2838

7. Applicant's arguments filed 5/10/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Yamamoto does not teach or suggest a response unit that is configured to send a message when the power consumption amount exceeds an electric power that is supplied from the fuel cell, but the power consumption amount is lower than an electric power supplied from both the fuel cell and the secondary battery. This is incorrect. Yamamoto discloses that when the electronic products power consumption is higher than the fuel cell power supply the response unit (300) sends a signal T to the external power supply in order to compensate for the insufficiency. Since the electronic product is operable with the help of the external power supply, it is proven that the power consumption of the electric product is not greater than an electric power supplied from both the fuel cell and the secondary battery or storage cell (Paragraphs 0075-0078).

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**.

See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory

Art Unit: 2838

action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel Berhanu whose telephone number is 571-272-8430. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Karl Easthom can be reached on 571-272-1989. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Adolf Deneke Berhane Primary Examiner

SB